

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/757,738	01/09/2001	William L. Bong	ARC 01.002	7735
7	590 05/05/2004		EXAMINER	
MICHAEL A. KERR			KERNS, KEVIN P	
VIRTUAL LEGAL 777 E. WILLIAM STREET.			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
SUITE 211			1725	
CARSON CITY, NV 89701			DATE MAILED: 05/05/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1 2 22					
	Application No	Applicant(s)	)				
	09/757,738	BONG, WILL	IAM L.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kevin P. Kerns	1725					
The MAILING DATE of this commu Period for Reply	nication appears on the cov	er sheet with the corresponden	ce address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty ( - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum s  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for repl Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, ho munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory r tatutory period will apply and will expi v will. by statute, cause the application	wever, may a reply be timely filed  ninimum of thirty (30) days will be considere re SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the obscome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 13	of this communication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <u>06 August 2003 and</u>	<u> 26 April 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .							
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
<ul> <li>4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ⊠ Claim(s) 13 is/are objected to.</li> </ul>							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 August 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	y documents have been re y documents have been re s of the priority documents ional Bureau (PCT Rule 17	ceived. ceived in Application No have been received in this Na 7.2(a)).					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Applicati Other:	on (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1725

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. Claim 13 is objected to because of the following informalities: in the 5<sup>th</sup> line of the claim, "conigured" should be changed to "configured". Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burden (US 3,243,568) in view of Wada et al. (US 4,208,564).

Burden discloses an electric welding process and apparatus for electroslag welding, in which an insulated consumable guide tube is comprised of elongated strips 41 and 42 (with front and back faces) and has plural longitudinal channels to receive welding wires (column 1, lines 11-14 and 53-72; column 2, lines 1-72; column 3, lines 1-25 and 54-71; column 4, lines 1-29; and Figures 1-10). The guide tubes would selectively be bare or given an insulating coating of slag forming material, and are selected in a plurality of arrangements in terms of dimensions and geometries, which

Art Unit: 1725

are illustrated in Figures 5 and 10, and would furthermore be selected as representatives of routine choices by one of ordinary skill in the art depending on welding conditions (column 4, lines 25-28; and Figures 5-10). The triangular shape limitation of claim 6 is considered to be representative of an arbitrary choice for the shape of the electrode guide cross section shown in Figure 9. Burden does not disclose the plural insulator modules.

However, Wada et al. disclose a nozzle structure of electroslag welding machines, in which nozzle plate 117 contains a plurality of insulator modules 120 (of varying numbers and heights, as shown in Figure 5), for the purpose of preventing a short circuit in the weld gap between the nozzle plate 117 and the surfaces of the planks to be welded (abstract; column 1, lines 9-13 and 53-68; column 2, lines 1-9; column 3, lines 39-52; and Figures 1-5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to modify the electric welding process and apparatus for electroslag welding, as disclosed by Burden, by adding the plurality of insulator modules, as taught by Wada et al., in order to prevent a short circuit in the weld gap between the nozzle plate 117 and the surfaces of the planks to be welded (Wada et al.; column 3, lines 39-50).

### Response to Arguments

4. The examiner acknowledges the applicant's amendment and proposed drawing corrections, both of which were received by the USPTO on August 6, 2003, and with a

Art Unit: 1725

complete listing and status of claims received on April 26, 2004. The proposed drawing corrections to Figures 1b-1e and Figure 9 overcome prior objections to the drawings.

Claims 1-20 remain under consideration in the application.

5. Applicant's arguments filed August 6, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With regard to the applicant's remarks on pages 6 and 7 of the amendment, the examiner respectfully asserts that their two major arguments are not commensurate with the scope of the claim limitations, which include the additional claim limitations in independent claims 1, 9, and 13 (see next paragraph). Regarding the prior art rejections under 35 USC 103(a), even when taken in view of the newly amended claims 1, 9, and 13, Burden only lacks the plural insulator modules, whereas Wada et al. disclose a <u>plurality</u> of insulator modules, both of which are operable in an electroslag welding environment.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "insulating projections do not melt into the molten flux puddle" on page 6, and "the entire length" on pages 6 and 7) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Art Unit: 1725

### Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Kevin P. Kerns whose telephone number is (571) 272-1178. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin P. Kerns Examiner Art Unit 1725

KPK kpk April 30, 2004

Kiley Stoner 141725 Willy Stone 5/3/04